Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
JAN 18 1977
MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLER

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1976

No. 76-833

CHARLES G. MORROW, Petitioner

vs.

GREYHOUND LINES, INC., Respondent

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

PETITIONER'S REPLY BRIEF

Charles G. Morrow

Petitioner, pro se

P. O. Box 13041

Philadelphia, Penna. 19101

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1976

No. 76-833

CHARLES G. MORROW, Petitioner

vs.

GREYHOUND LINES, INC., Respondent

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

PETITIONER'S REPLY BRIEF

Petitioner files this brief as permitted by Rule 24(4).

I

THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI DOES SET FORTH MANY MERITORIOUS GROUNDS FOR REVIEW BY THIS COURT.

According to Rule 19(1)(b), Rules of this Court, the Petition sets forth many grounds for review by this Court:

1. The Third Circuit Court of Appeals in the present case handed down a judgement in conf ict with its own recent judgement in Polansky. The judgement was also in conflict with Willis and with Archibald. All of the above mentioned cases are cited in the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari.

2. The decision in the present case is also in conflict with applicable decisions of the Supreme Court, Cort vs. Ash and Nader vs. Allegheny Airlines, Inc. The above mentioned cases are cited in the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari.

3. The Court of Appeals departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings (Petition for a Writ of Certiorari pp.14-15(No.6), A10-A11, A12, A15-A17).

4. The Court of Appeals by its judgement sanctioned the departure from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings by the trial Court. These numerous departures are stated in the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari and in its Appendix.

The departures under three(3) and four(4) above were such and so numerous as to call for an exercise of this Courts power of supervision.

CONCLUSION

There are many meritorious grounds for review by this Court therefore certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted

Charles G. Morrow

Petitioner, pro se

P. O. Box 13041

Philadelphia, Penna. 19101